

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

SHERRY FIELDEN,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	1:12-cv-0288-TAB-SEB
	)	
MACQUARIE OFFICE MONUMENT	)	
CENTER I, LLC et al.,	)	
Defendants.	)	

**ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Defendants seek reconsideration of the order remanding this case to state court. The Court remanded this case because Defendants' second supplemental notice of removal failed to allege the place of incorporation and principal place of business for Macquarie Office Trust Services, Inc. Defendants contend that "their previous submission could have been more clear . . . , but for the superfluous information contained within their Motion, they have complied with this Court's previous requests and submitted the place of incorporation and principal place of business for Macquarie Office Trust Services, Inc." [Docket No. 47 at 1–2.]

Defendants' attempt to clarify their allegations by asserting that their jurisdictional statement should have read "Macquarie Office Trust Services, Inc. is ~~managed by Macquarie Office Management Limited~~, an Australian corporation with its principal place of business in Sydney, Australia." [*Id.* at 2.] According to Defendants, "but for the extraneous six (6) words . . . this Honorable Court possessed the requisite information for purposes of determining whether complete diversity was established . . . ." [*Id.*] The Court disagrees.


Removing those six words creates an entirely different meaning and modifies Macquarie

Office Trust Services, Inc. rather than Macquarie Office Management Limited. Thus, those six words are not simply superfluous or extraneous, but directly assert that Macquarie Office Management Limited's principal place of business is in Australia. Moreover, removing those words does not cure Defendants' failure to set forth Macquarie Office Trust Services, Inc.'s place of incorporation.

Defendants belatedly assert that "Macquarie Office Trust Services, Inc., is an Australian corporation, incorporated in New South Wales in 1993, with its principal place of business in Sydney, Australia." [*Id.*] However, Defendants had three opportunities to provide this information and failed to do so even though the Court expressly asked for the information. The Court warned Defendants that this case would be remanded to state court if they did not fix their jurisdictional problems. Despite correcting jurisdictional problems related to the limited liability companies, Defendants ignored the Court's discussion regarding Macquarie Office Trust Services, Inc. It is simply too late to provide this information. *Moro v. Shell Oil Co.*, 91 F.3d 872, 876 (7th Cir. 1996) (explaining that motions for reconsideration "do not provide a vehicle for a party to undo its own procedural failures, and it certainly does not allow a party to introduce new evidence or advance arguments that could and should have been presented to the district court prior to the judgment."); *Am. 's Best Inns, Inc. v. Best Inns of Abilene, L.P.*, 980 F.2d 1072, 1074 (7th Cir. 1992) ("At some point the train of opportunities ends. [Failure to] "supply the court with essential details supports an inference that jurisdiction is absent . . .").

Defendants' motion for reconsideration [Docket No. 47] is therefore denied. This ruling, of course, does not end this litigation, but merely sends it back to state court, which is Plaintiff's forum of choice.

Dated: 09/17/2012

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'T. Baker', is written above a horizontal line.

Tim A. Baker  
United States Magistrate Judge  
Southern District of Indiana

Copies to:

Christina Marie Alexander  
KIGHTLINGER & GRAY  
malexander@k-glaw.com

Bruce P. Clark  
BRUCE P. CLARK & ASSOCIATES  
bpc@bpc-law.com

Jennifer E. Davis  
BRUCE P. CLARK & ASSOCIATES  
jed@bpc-law.com

Nicholas Ward Levi  
KIGHTLINGER & GRAY  
nlevi@k-glaw.com

Jeffrey Alan Musser  
ROCAP MUSSER LLP  
jam@rocap-law.com

Christopher P. Phillips  
BRUCE P. CLARK & ASSOCIATES  
christopher.phillipslaw@gmail.com

Peter H. Pogue  
SCHULTZ & POGUE LLP  
ppogue@schultzpoguelaw.com

James William Roehrdanz  
KIGHTLINGER & GRAY  
jroehrdanz@k-glaw.com